14

COMMUNICATION WITH ALIENS

JOHN DURHAM PETERS

I firmly disbelieve, myself, that our human experience is the highest form of experience in the universe.

—William James, Pragmatism, Lecture 8

in contact with super- and subhuman intelligences; it is a specieswide longing. Before the twentieth century many philosophers had great interest in the inhabitants of other worlds, but as with animal communication, only since the late nineteenth century has the dream of empirical contact with beings not of this planet been pursued as a scientific enterprise. With the modern attack, led by Marx, Feuerbach, Nietzsche, and Freud, on the human imagination as an unwitting maker of all kinds of fantastical others (gods, demons, angels, munchkins, trolls, water sprites, and spirits of

all sorts), science has compensated by seeking contact with objective others—animals, aliens, "primitives," the unconscious. In research on extraterrestrial intelligence, as on animal communication, all kinds of strategies have been sought to transcend the inevitability of one-way communication. Any message we receive must decisively prove to be immune to our own fabrications. As in Dorothy Parker's anxious monologue, we wait for a telephone call. The quest for contact with aliens is a leading example of the dialectic of enlightenment, the persistence of myth at the heart of the most secular enterprises. Even more, it is an allegory of faith in a disenchanted universe.

The search for extraterrestrial intelligence (SETI), an international scientific effort of varying fortunes since its start in the late 1950s, is perhaps the most sustained examination of communication—and communication breakdown—in late twentieth-century culture.² SETI is a child of the twentieth century. The project presupposes knowledge of the speed of light, the measurement

SOURCE: Peters, J. D. (1999). Speaking into the air: A history of the idea of communication. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press. Pages 246-261.

device for alien personages. sesses both a time machine and a teleportation Every owner of a radio or television set posbecause we live among alien communications. we are interested in communication with aliens across vast expanses of time and space. Perhaps quences of storage and transmission capacities radio emissions from deep space but is also SEII not only is the project of understanding circle of our own cognitions to touch otherness. computers, and the longing to break through the of vast distance, the discovery of radio waves, field for exploring the philosophical consedilemmas about communication. It is a fertile implicitly a sustained inquiry into our earthly rife with explicit discussion of communication. literature on SETI, both scientific and popular, is Miss Lonelyhearts waiting by the telephone. The next voice you hear. Earthlings are figured as there? Still listening. Tuning in to out there. The we alone? An invitation to strangers. Who's communicative pathos: Is anyone out there? Are The titles of recent articles on SETI tell a tale of tography and information theory), high-speed means of sorting signal from noise (such as cryp-

nicate by modes of being instead of perceptible be like nothing in our ken. Their strangeness a radical otherness in our interlocutors that their cosmic dust and gases; and the prospect of such time distortion, and signal scatter caused by nal persistence through Doppler shifts, spacesignals. Any message they send to us might might count with irrational numbers or commucould put all other strangeness to shame. They math, their being in time, or their bodies might scale, might be so queer that no junction could frame of our senses and minds, or even their time tesimal, or to matter too gross or subtle for the Their sensitivity to quantities too vast or infinithe cicadas might be a message they are sending them might look like nature to us. The whir of never be recognized as a message. Codes for thousand earth generations; the problem of sigbetween call and response that could outlast a ranging from four light-years to billions; delays astronomical gaps: mind numbing distances, Interstellar communication is riddled with

ever be made. If we couldn't understand a lion who spoke, why would we understand an alien? Across such desperate distance, any evidence of the will to communicate may always be underdetermined, subject to all kinds of alternative explanations.³

tion with the dead. of communication at a distance and communicanineteenth-century spiritualists knew: the unity extremity of exaggeration, reveals what late couplings will be wildly asynchronous. SETI, by tant worlds is an archaeological dig. Our dialogic mission. Communication with galactically dishad to say to us thirty-eight years ago. The would hear only what the intelligences there Arcturus, say, thirty-eight light-years away, we were to receive a broadcast from a world near comes from a point already lost to time. If we cation at a distance always comes out of the past any other situation, clearly shows that communi-'now" of reception would be the "then" of trans-Any "message" received from a distant planet Extraterrestrial communication, more than

take hold of a sure connection. Both imagine a casts of distant civilizations, and one of the senior practices. Psychical research owes an immense nineteenth century, SETI is to the late twentieth and distant (SETI). Both are moved by faith in the dead and distant (psychical research) or alien cerns: mourning, cosmic loneliness, contact with Both deal with the most poignant human condata with the hope that a junction can be made sive but mockingly inconclusive quantities of Both psychical research and SETI confront massend to faraway worlds to "an interstellar fax." players in SETI, compares any message we might first attempt to eavesdrop or tune in on the broadfor its imagery, as we have seen, and SETI is amount to the telegraph, telephone, and wireless draw on extant communications technology and disdains as trivolous: spirits and aliens. Both topics that popular culture both abounds in and In both, highly respected scientists investigate the other's existence without the ability to Drake, the founder in 1959 of Project Ozma, the the latest step in the wireless imagination. Frank Indeed, what psychical research was to the late

> could thus be completely blind to any order creorigin of randomized design; the experimenter Psychical investigation into telepathy was the sorting messages from static, signal from noise SETI develop innovative methodologies for myriad of tongues."5 Both psychical research and unable, for whatever reasons, to tap. As James C. universe humming with conversations we are overzealousness in credulity. meaning on randomness or otherness, and our Both inquiries have produced methods to restrain matician with special expertise in statistics the it is fitting that Stanislaw Lem makes a mathecryptography, likewise, make SETI conceivable; as to bar any unwitting collaboration from his or ated (e.g., in the arrangement of playing cards) so be full of voices, calling from star to star in a supporter of SETI, wrote, "We should begin to Fletcher, twice the head of NASA and an active the human rage for order, the will to impose stars, a text outside any known relationship. hermeneutic undecidabilities of a letter from the (1968), a brilliantly dizzying meditation on the hero of his SETI novel His Master's Voice her own unconscious.º Information theory and isten to other civilizations in the galaxy. It must

who both hypothesized the ether and engaged in of the electrical trams on the streets.8 His plea for there was too much electrical interference in apparently the first to have the idea of using radio was later an active psychical researcher, was also psychical research, but also of many of the key many of the late nineteenth-century physicists the utmost importance to humanity."9 Cambridge the living and the dead can be bridged] is either psychical research applies equally well to SETI: Liverpool—perhaps owing in part to the sparking He sought to identify solar radio emissions, but as an instrument of exploration in astronomy. direct communication between distant brains and the 1890s wrote of the powers of radio to create chical research and SETI. Oliver Lodge, who in Laboratory, was the headquarters not only for University, and more specifically the Cavendish folly and self-deception, or it is a truth of 'Clearly the conclusion [that the chasm between In fact there is a historical link between psy-

innovations after World War II in radio astronomy, which completely transformed our understanding of the universe. Since Newton a place of grace and order, the universe of radio astronomy is a Shiva's dance of creation and destruction, spectacular explosions of supernovas, and such unexpected weirdnesses as twin stars, quasars, dark matter, and black holes. The notion to use radio as an instrument of communication rather than of inquiry, however, appeared only in the late 1950s, with Project Ozma.

abundant in the Galaxy."11 development of science near the Sun." Once we Giuseppe Cocconi and Philip Morrison thought sal language. In the founding article of SETI tant planets forty light-years away, one is struck simply be that the mortality rate for advanced technological progress, with the hopes that more ments is a rather apocalyptically tinged story of eager to engage in scholarly exchange rather tists have a touching confidence that messages intergalactic invisible college. 10 The SETI scieninto "the community of intelligence," a sort of restrial societies] will have been expecting the it "highly probable that for a long time [extraterby how much they assume science is the univerfrom the late 1950s, like messages sent from discivilizations is too high for them to become that the apparent silence of the cosmos "may in the meantime. One scientist even proposed intermediate stages without destroying ourselves con artists. Further, underlying early SETI docuthan by mindless bureaucrats, conquistadores, or from other worlds would be sent by scientists receive and answer their signal, we would enter "advanced" civilizations could help us skip over Reading some of the founding SETI articles

Radio begins as a séance, fragmentary messages flying through space, trying to make links with some listener, as in Rudyard Kipling's story "Wireless"; in SETI it ends where it began, in the quest for junction, beaming messages into space, scanning the heavens for proof of intelligible fabrication. The link between DX-ing, spiritualism, and SETI is explicit in the 1997 film Contact, based on the Carl Sagan novel by the same name. As a child the heroine, played by

century's version of the mystery of faith. possibility of communication is the twentieth of the junction (versus a huge hallucination? on is here figured as a quest for contact with the simulation through which to speak to her. SETI an alien presence using her father as a reassuring she has a reunion with her father, or rather with guered SETI researcher who finally hits the is orphaned, her DX-ing becomes a kind of quest calls "CQ, CQ" into the great beyond. When she her part) is, as always, left naggingly open. The filled tapes, such that the question of the reality tive evidence except eighteen hours of static-"contact" she has made leaves no decisive objecdead and others across distance. Of course the the climax, she travels to a distant world where the sequence of prime numbers from 1 to 100. In jackpot—a message that beats out, rap by rap, for her dead parents. In adulthood she is a belea-Jodie Foster, is an amateur radio operator, who

at astounding speed.)12 Indeed, the receipt of an a profound question of public relations, not to war or the design for a super weapon, could pose alien signal, especially if it was a declaration of turned out to be a neutron star rotating on its axis if it really was some kind of distant signal. (It Antony Hewish even hushed up the discovery signal from a remote intelligent civilization. first thought to be an amazingly insistent radio for example, was discovered in 1967 and was emits all manner of radio signals; the first pulsar, of noise from the universe. The universe itself or she must sort out all the potential false sources of Kate Smith or Rudy Vallee is sincere, since he radio listener trying to find out whether the voice scientist is in a position analogous to that of the message from his or her beloved, SETI faces the "bosh" of mediumistic performance, K looking for six months for fear of causing a public uproar vertigo of infinitesimally small odds. The SETI ing to ten million radio voices for a telephonic for recognition from the Castle, or a lover listeninto listening rather than sending. Like William noise; thus by far the most effort has been put The Cambridge astronomer and Nobel laureate James looking for evidence of immortality in the SETI seeks a true signal amid an infinity of

mention defense; there is even a worldwide pact among researchers not to respond at once if some message does come, lest we inadvertently step into some intergalactic conflict.¹³ Radio astronomers are supposed to act initially as what Internet culture calls "lurkers"—those who read messages but do not make themselves known by actually posting one.

cosmic engineering (specifically so-called cation is made. Galactic conversation can be into fellowship with otherness. things with words, but figuring out ways to come not adjusting semantics so we mean the same sions of the telegraph or photograph, reveal that cuit in deep space, like the equally radical extenenormous elongation of the communication cirgence). 15 SETI offers a nice catalog of the pieces would serve as proof of distant alien intelligraphic data of deep space for evidence of universe: rather than DX-ing with the universe best course for discerning intelligent life in the time leader in SETI, proposed surveillance as the strategy is explicitly the one-way work of eavessuch an exchange 'dialogue.' "14 Much of SETI's the fundamental problem of communication is tion with a counterhailing), and interaction. The locutor), recognition (you "copy" my recogniit), hailing (I recognize you as a potential intersignal not meant for me without your knowing word, "exploded." There is spying (I receive a that result once dialogue is, in Paul Ricoeur's Dyson spheres, huge solar power stations that we should inspect the vast archives of photo-(searching for the most distant signal possible), dropping. Astronomer Freeman Dyson, a longwas on the order of centuries, it was hard to call from the 'answers' they received by a time that nothing but alternating broadcasts. As Stanislaw em notes, "When 'questions' were separated SETI recognizes the gaps of which communi-

SETI faces a task suited for Kabbalists: scanning an infinite text for the name of names. It must employ search strategies in impossibly vast aggregates. Prophets heard voices from the heavens, but SETI researchers have to contend with the gigabytes of radio emissions naturally produced by the universe, to say nothing of the

catalog-but it too exists in a billion spurious slightly diminished, and an even greater all but of the twentieth century. Kafka and Borges such. Somewhere in the library there is even a along with every possible masterpiece—goes Miltonic epic Keats would have written had he is somewhere in the library of Babel the greatest electrical trams of Liverpool, or Clever Hans volume that explicates the order of the library—a found. The masterpiece cannot announce itself as together with the sure knowledge that it cannot be absolute confidence that the masterpiece exists gle typo and a billion slightly blemished versions. thousand versions perfect in everything but a sinif we have found it, since there are a hundred infinity of utter nonsense. We are unable to know there are billions of variants of this grand work, lived or sublimities Proust only dreamed of: yet number of volumes is very large, but not infinite combination of all the letters of the Roman alphainfinities. This library contains every possible understand best the stakes of the quest for intelliamong the theological and interpersonal abysses problems). SETI might rightly take its place interference they produce for themselves (the and all but an aleph-null of deformed pieces. The literary work possible with these letters, the We know beyond the shadow of a doubt that there bet bound in volumes of 410 pages each. The gible order in a pulsating cosmos. Borges's story 'The Library of Babel" is a delirium of tedious

Borges gives us an allegory of inability to connect: theologically, statistically, communicatively. His library is dissemination taken to an infinite extreme. One-to-one contact becomes impossible. Just so, we may know for sure that the animal hurts, but access to that pain is forever barred; we may believe the chances tiny that we are alone in the universe, but the others are so far away. The Library of Babel is an allegory of the minimal odds of our own existence, and still we exist. We seem an exception in the universe, and yet mundanity cloaks us on every side. SETI is an emblem of the hermeneutic giddiness that faces anyone staring into the abyss; our attempts to "communicate" have only made it worse.

infinity. Like a Penelope waiting for a rencommunicate-a concerto, pi to one hundred statistical properties of signals. 19 Sought is an made "artificiality criteria" a topic of very called "an intelligible beacon"17 or "a nonincontrovertible tokens. They seek a sign. she will recognize, SETI scientists look for dezvous with an Odysseus she doesn't know if processing have only escalated the pathos of tarian) intelligence. Increased capacities of data places, or some other feat of a playful (nonutiliunmistakable signature of artifice, of a will to sophisticated study, including analysis of the dom or a natural product. Russian exoscientists extremely low probability of being either a ranbe taken as a message, a signal must have an random possibly intelligent transmission."18 To putable identification as an artificial signal."16 movement nicely stated the grand prize: "indiserror. A 1959 article important in launching the previous attempts to communicate with the from other worlds—what others since have heavens is the acute sense of the possibility of The issue was how to know a bona fide signal One thing that distinguishes SETI from

combination that says, 'Hi there.' "20 The grand a social sign for George Herbert Mead: a sign or, contra Shannon, information that concerns us music, mathematics, art, and argument—a sim-Come here, I want you. prize of communication at a distance recurs: astrophysicist said, "We're looking for the one used by the self to connect it to others. As one Call letters would meet the precise definition of "identify oneself" (phrase of Hegelian wonder). letters, a break in the flow of programming to than a signal: nothing would quite thrill like call that the other is communicating, a sign rather in communication, but the body it comes from. world. It is not only, contra Turing, intelligence ple SOS from Tau Ceti would electrify the whole Though we live amid alien human intelligences of something that is not a projection of the self. his room: both long not to be alone, to find a sign analogous to the idealist's "man" cooped up in What SETI hopes for is the self-consciousness The image of the earth alone in the universe is

a communicating civilization at one million constant. But it is a postulate, like the Kantian using the natural wavelength of the hydrogen of solipsism. depriving her of proof of having burst the bubble communicate with Jodie Foster via her father's on communication. When the aliens in Contact and the filling of the spectrum-more like one where in the universe, estimates the longevity of calculating the likelihood of intelligent life elsestations to scan for signals from deep space.21 band. As interference makes earth-bound scansearch may have to shift from radio to the optical own broadcasting may be so severe that the tion" of the electromagnetic spectrum by earth's tion. Today some scientists fear that the "polluwithin the all-coloring powers of human cognior Jamesian varieties, that the aliens would also stellar message, assuming that to be a universal atom as the logical frequency to send an intershock of the experience for her, but they end up persona, they say they are trying to soften the instruments, which always constitute the ceiling higher frequency but by the capacities of our restrials might have shifted their signals to a vated, of course, not by any sense that extratershift in strategy from radio to optics is motihundred years in the case of earth history. The instead the span between the discovery of radio years. Perhaps Drake should have calculated The Drake equation, which gives grounds for infrared and visible wavelengths or use space ning impossible, astronomers may either shift to how to recognize authentic empirical inputs fine example of the post-Kantian problematic of think to broadcast on that wavelength. SETI is a offered an elegant and influential argument for nally defined. In 1959 Cocconi and Morrison Otherness turns out, alas, always to be inter-

The basic assumption of SETI—that a signal must stand in stark contrast to the rest of nature—is based on a shrinkage of the realm of the semiotic. In romanticism, with such thinkers as Ritter, Schelling, or even Kant's notion of a Chiffenschrift der Natur (hieroglyphics of nature), nature was once assumed to be a text written in cipher; more anciently it was assumed to be full

of cryptic messages intelligible to the sage or soothsayer. We have seen, since, a recession in the general supply of meaning. In nature we have come to assume that all those obvious but unintelligible and apparently unauthored patterns—telligible and apparently unauthored patterns—sunsets, cries of birds, the guts of a lamb, or the fabric of clouds—are not the work of a conscious intelligence that we can interpret. The pathetic fallacy, animism, and anthropocentrism have all been scared out of us. And so solipsism is inescapable, since the only source of intelligible order is within us. Our lack of confidence in the objectivity of meanings is one key source of the pervasive sense of communication breakdown.

and roll or Scrabble.22 guess. SETI scientists at times evince a touching or any other signal we earthlings have sent sidering just how "hot" our planet has been over across space, SETI offers lessons in the philosocal moment only reveals its blindness to its own whites are not the majority race of the planet). and race (the couple are clearly white, though supposed to be stripped of any extraneous cul-Sagan and others designed a message to be sent space—potentially the ultimate dead letter. Carl preference for Bach or mathematics over rock faith that the extraterrestrials would share their zooming through interstellar space is anyone's I Love Lucy, The Twilight Zone, Gilligan's Island, the message on Voyager to all the episodes of magnetic spectrum. Why the aliens should prefer the past century in its emissions on the electromessage on a spacecraft is almost amusing, conobvious to later observers. The attempt to send a about itself but its ignorance of what is most past is not its treasure-house of information phy of history: what is hardest to recapture of the face. By transposing the passage of time to flight Even in its attempts to transcend itself, a historiwoman stands in a pose half demure, half sexy), (the man takes the lead in greeting while the lization, with its 1970s hairdos, vision of gender already seems an emanation from an alien civitural coding. Twenty-five years later this image to outer space with Voyager in the 1970s that was receiving messages but have sent messages to Some exoscientists have not stopped short at

> makes us think minds can make contact? We intelligence, only to never come in contact. never touch, be surrounded by extraterrestrial might even, like Maxwell's glass lenses that body whose presence we could desire, then what Hegel would remind us that if the other has no otherness must break through. But Plato and systematically excluded as authors: intentional ing airliner or satellite. Nature and self are reader, pulsars, background radiation, or a passligible other mind, not just patters created by the the alternative hypotheses and wants pure, intelhorror. SETI in contrast scrupulously scrutinizes been made and take it from there with bathos or the tabloids, all of which assume that contact has The aliens populate cinema, television, and

cerned."25 Our failure to recognize ourselves fuels misfires and distortions that Socrates sketches. us."26 The issue is our failure to enter into a comthe receiving end that imagination seems to fail our thirst for confirmation from alien intellibeing, in all cases, the ones most easily dismon realm with the other: we are back with all the gences. "It is only when we think of ourselves on and eyes and stare us in the face are far from Peirce wrote, "Facts that stand before our face whom everyone else knows but I never can. As ghost that stalks me is my self, the only person faces. We haunt ourselves like aliens. The main here is the strangeness that we never see: our own know what to do with our own? The huge barrier seek distant alien intelligence when we hardly rant the West continues to be of it!24 Why do we Chinese civilization, for instance, and how igno-How much intelligence and wisdom are found in still feel lonely and unable to communicate.23 slime mold, even the planet as an ecosystem—but panzees, DNA molecules, computers, dung beetles, intelligences-bees, whales, porpoises, chimthat we are so plainly surrounded with alien This is indeed the oddest thing about SETI-

The problem may be less our loneliness than our too stringent sense of communication. If we thought of communication as the occasional touch of otherness rather than a conjunction of consciousness, we might be less restrictive in our quest for nonearthly intelligence. What is the

human truth of SETI? That the mundane is only a small pocket of the extraordinary. Of the billions of solar systems, we know of only one so able to support life. An orbit slightly closer to the sun, a tilt of the earth's axis by a few more degrees, or an errant comet all could have made life on earth impossible. Of the five billion years of earth's existence, humanoids have existed for one thousandth of that time. Civilization as we know it (with its writing, war, patriarchy) has existed for one thousandth of that. We are, as the romantics all insisted, the great exception to the universe, the rare case, the completion of nature, the way that the universe comes to self-consciousness.

person can speak at a time. able capacity for marvellousness."27 In the 1890s equally to SETI: Why search so wistfully in a moralists have said about the universe, science of the spectrum: the cosmic rays, rainbows dynamics of a conversation in which only one given, and democracy always bounded by the makes telepathy a dream, the privacy of pain a strained by the dullness of our instrumentation; waves naturally emitted from our persons. The about the chances for human connection via corner when the whole universe is a message? we cannot fully understand or even sense. since Faraday has proved to be empirically true: tonic groans of the earth all elude us. What the above or below the range of visible light, or tecder. Our senses can catch only a narrow portion Boredom is the amazing achievement, not wonever managed to convince ourselves that anythe hidden strangeness of things, but how we break through the sludge of habit to rediscover perhaps it is simply our narrow bandwidth that hope for brain waves, however, remains con-Adams, and many lesser spirits were delifious William Crookes, Charles Sanders Peirce, Henry the vain attempt to fathom their truly immeasur-Walden Pond: "They were paying out the rope in about those who tried to measure the depths of SETI research reminds one of Thoreau's quip Emerson's point about spiritualism applies We are immersed in a sea of intelligence that thing was not a dissemination of intelligence. The question should be, then, not how we

a general idea," and he later drew the even more quality of the man-sign." If words do not have is a very vague term...consciousness, being a conscious, while a word is not. But consciousness words as continuous. "It may be said that man is of animism, Peirce takes human beings and or the inhuman as a potential partner, and relinword's information."29 and is involved by, a corresponding increase of a each increase in a man's information involves men and words reciprocally educate each other people have made them mean, but people mean information, and comes to mean more than he did humans from signs. "The man-sign acquires terion of life, then, does not suffice to distinguish the unified living feeling of a person."28 The criradical conclusion that "every general idea has hold thought in objective form. Peirce argued same way that a phonograph or photograph can Significance, in other words, does not need a live consciousness, in what sense do people have it? mere sensation, is only a part of the material "man's glassy essence." Not afraid of the charge from Shakespeare's Measure for Measure, called human mind-which Peirce, borrowing a line quishes any claim of special privilege for the standing of communication, is open to the animal tion and Descartes, offers a behavioral underpragmatist revolt against Cartesian hierarchies signs, those creatures from outer and inner space munication. All our converse with others is via its ordinariness. There is no other kind of comcommunication with aliens, we should recognize just as people or animals do. "In fact, therefore, Words have their associations and communities, nothing that words have not taught them to say "that a person is nothing but a symbol involving body; a word in itself can radiate meaning, in the Incapacities" (1868) directly attacks introspec-His essay "Some Consequences of Four This was a central tenet of Peirce, who led the Instead of being terrorized by the quest for But so do words." Words mean what

Peirce's argument is not only a critique of Cartesian high-handedness, or a semiotic

gence can be stored in media. is historically indebted to an age when intellithey too have inner lives. Peirce's theory of signs mortal life. The signs are as conscious as we are; think of minds as themselves signs mixed with an animating mind behind them, it is sounder to of taking signs as meaningful because they have like alien spores that have taken us over. Instead which signs may play.30 We play host to signs motion, scattering themselves into all fields in universe in which persons obeyed new laws of be seen as Peirce's response to a communicative tion of independent intelligence to signs might of something like shared brain space, the ascrip-(in contrast to James) in the ultimate possibility tist tradition, and though he clearly does believe wonderful and strange to come from the pragmacorporate personality ranks among the most Though his thinking about evolutionary love and ners in some way, at least in some future horizon. that includes all forms of intelligence as our parteffort to invite us into a beloved community, one ings, as semantic theorists would fear, but an animism that ascribes objective reality to mean

a homunculus, but as behavior continuous with ent from any other we engage in. kind of complex behavior not appreciably differdemean, the riches of inner life by seeing it as one two sides of the same Möbius strip. We honor, not all else that we do. The inner and the outer are thought of not as a control panel presided over by the fields of our experience. Inner life is best find our inner life dispersed pluralistically across an other; that its form is polymorphous; that we mentalist figment but that interiority appears as ism often lack. They say not that inner life is a manisms such as behaviorism and poststructuraldo so with a quality of mercy that other antihuthat we are always more or less than human. They trast, our fundamental inhumanity in the sense the measure of all things. They recognize, in condefender of some sort of humanism, of "man" as Clearly, then, neither Peirce nor James is a

The pragmatists teach us that we should care for children, animals, the mad, the deformed, spirits and the dead, aliens and nature not because they potentially have a inner life of

cooperate?-is the question pragmatism poses to worlds." A behaviorist query-Do we in fact metaphysical cobwebs away."31 ities. "The practical point of view brushes such cation. Lovable form trumps abstract impossibilthe worries about the impossibility of communigame and eating out of the same dish, will practhe same air resonant, and pursuing the same the same earth, splashing the same water, making other's bodies sharing the same space, treading of solipsism James wrote: "Men who see each conundrum of other minds. Against the impasses of compassion rather than an epistemological (a lesson the pragmatists learned from Emerson our bodies before we ever make mental contact our ability to tap into their inner life but from a tically never believe in a pluralism of solipsistic and Darwin alike). This is a commonsense fact kinship we share with all creation is written into that God or nature has seen fit to produce. The Our obligation to other creatures comes not from mals not because they have minds, but because our world and our shape. We should relate to anilogical history, as variant forms of intelligent life primordial kinship deriving from a common biothey have vertebrae, need oxygen, or teel pain Descartes might have it) but because they share reason that can lay claim to our recognition (as

called "the democracy of chemistry." Even the transclass, transage, transhuman: what Emerson transspecies, transgender, transrace, transregion, creatures than humans, for humans themselves would have to include a much wider range of racy in the best, full sense. A true democracy to all creatures, of giving, like Emerson or granting an admirable but inaccessible innerness iorism) or in the wilder and superior direction of all beings of an inner life (some forms of behavof humanness. The refusal to probe inner life can dead would be invited. are many creatures. Full democracy would be Whitman, a welcome to the universe—democlead in the more militant direction of depriving glassy essence against the awe of strangeness. heart, an admission of the inefficacy of our Interior consciousness ceases to be the criterion This recognition involves a softening of the

community that listens. All conversation would are collective compositions. Theirs is a life of agonistics because there is no drive to besting or appear in its true light as a new beginning stretches of water would come to each particiconversational turns traveling across great be a reading of the archive of the community, as chronous dialogues. What friendships. What no law, no crime, no punishment.32 No dolphin individuation; their works of verbal invention the place of speech. But the dolphins have no guishable. The sea must be the original agora, Dialogue and dissemination would be indistinpant in a unique order. Each response would with no record but the consciousness of the grief at the loss of a fellow to the nets or the over hundreds of leagues, fondness, relationthe regime of secondness. What collective knew this). So the dolphins sing and mate and everything (Novalis, Coleridge, and Emerson all ancients knew this). I am the UFO haunting is married to any other dolphin, but all dolphins killer whales. What philosophical dialogues, hundreds of miles, allowing completely asynships they must have. Voices that travel for poetry, oral histories, symphonies of discussions play and eat and swim. They roll, exempt from the self: I am the thing from outer space (the women are some other aliens, as are men. So is ashamed. They are some of the aliens among us; expel them from Eden. They are naked and not Marx dreamed it. There is no forbidden fruit to are kin. They are the true idyll of communism as records, no history, no government, no property, so they have no works-no weapons, no Consider the dolphins. Dolphins have no hands, different worlds in which we might dwell. selves. But they also give us a way to imagine downs we find with them we find among ourourselves as exotic. All the gaps and breaktion that we think of these creatures rather than although again it is already a failure of recognipartners than aliens, animals, and machines, twentieth century arises with much less exotic The problem of communication in the

sporting firstness. If the hearing capacities of the dolphins are as advanced as our vision, dolphins may be exempt from the hardest argument against democracy: the ability of only one person to speak and be heard at a time. Dolphins can perhaps hear many of their fellows speaking at once; they would not be torn by the unfortunate mismatch between hearing and speaking, which makes democracy ever subject to constraints of scale. The party would be a party always, a polylogue in which everyone spoke and everyone heard. Such is perhaps the vision we should take away from a century's attempt to make contact with alien creatures.

Notes

- 1. On the philosophical history of such interest, see Lewis White Beck, "Extraterrestrial Intelligent Life," in Extraterrestrials: Science and Alten Intelligence, ed. Edward Regis Jr. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1935), 3–18.
- 2. It is also sometimes known as CETI, communication (or contact) with extraterrestrial intelligence.
- Dennis Overbye, "The Big Ear," Onni 13
 December 1990): 44. Frank Drake has suggested that our most likely interlocutors in SETI are immortal beings who have infinite patience to await our response."
- David Graham, "Intergalactic Conversations," *Technology Review* 96 (February-March 1993): 20-21.
 James C. Fletcher quoted in Roger D.
- Launius, "A Western Mormon in Washington, D.C.: James C. Fletcher, NASA, and the Final Frontier," *Pacific Historical Review* 64 (May 1995): 233.
- Ian Hacking, "Telepathy: Origins of Randomization in Experimental Design," Isis 79 (1988): 427-51.
 Stanislaw Lem, His Master's Voice, trans. Michael Kandel (New York: Harvest/HBJ, 1968).
- 8. Nigel Calder, Radio Astronomy (New York: Roy, 1958), 11.
- Oliver Lodge, Raymond, or Life and Death, with Examples of the Evidence of Survival of Memory and Affection after Death (New York: Doran, 1916), 389.
- 10. Guseppe Cocconi and Philip Morrison, "Searching for Interstellar Communications," *Nature* 184 (19 September 1959): 844.

- R. N. Bracewell, "Communications from Superior Galactic Communities," *Nature* 185 (28 May 1960): 671.
- 12. S. A. Kaplan, "Exosociology: The Search for Signals from Extraterrestrial Civilizations," in Extraterrestrial Civilizations: Problems of Interstellar Communication, ed. S. A. Kaplan, trans. from Russian (Jerusalem: Keter Press, 1971), 7.
- Graham, "Intergalactic Conversations," 20.
 Lem, His Master's Voice, 103.
- Freeman Dyson, "Search for Artificial Stellar Sources of Infrared Radiation," Science 131 (1959): 1667-68. Dyson also has a Cambridge connection: B.A. in mathematics, 1945.
- 16. Cocconi and Morrison, "Searching for Interstellar Communications," 846.
- 17. Alan Lightman, "E. T. Call Harvard," Science 85 (September 1985): 20-22.
- Gregg Easterbrook, "Are We Alone?" Atlantic Monthly, August 1988, 27.
- 19. L. M. Gindilis, "The Possibility of Radio Communication with Extraterrestrial Civilizations," in Extraterrestrial Civilizations. Problems of Interstellar Communication, ed. S. A. Kaplan, trans. from Russian (Jerusalem: Keter Press, 1971), 103–8. The Eastern Europeans have led the way in these inquiries, in science on the one hand and in literature and cinema on the other.
- Kent Cullers, quoted in Overbye, "Big Ear," 48.
 Robert Naeye, "SETI at the Crossroads," Sky
- and Telescope, November 1992, 514.
 22. Mother Earth News 122 (March-April 1990), in its twentieth anniversary issue, sent an open letter to the great blue yonder, apologizing in effect for the bad

condition of the planet!

- This and many other excellent points are made in Anthony Weston, "Radio Astronomy as Epistemology: Some Philosophical Reflections on the Contemporary Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence" in Monist 71, 1 (1988): 88-100.
- 24. Naeye, "SETI at the Crossroads," 515.
- Charles Sanders Peirce, "The Law of Mind," Monist 2, 4 (1892): 559.
- 26. Weston, "Radio Astronomy as Epistemo-
- Henry David Thoreau, Walden (1854; New York: Norton, 1975), 189.
- 28. Charles Sanders Peirce, "Man's Glassy Essence Monist 3, 1 (1892): 21.

- Charles Sanders Peirce, "Some Consequences of Four Incapacities" (1868), in *Philosophical Writings* of Peirce, ed. Justus Buchler (New York: Dover, 1955), 249.
- A helpful explication and critique is Jürgen 30. A helpful explication and critique is Jürgen Habermas, "Peirce and Communication," in Peirce and Contemporary Thought, ed. Kenneth Laine Ketner (New York: Fordham University Press, 1995), 243–66.
 - 31. William James, "The Function of Cognition," in *The Writings of William James: A Comprehensive Edition*, ed. John J. McDermott (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1977), 146.
- Loren Eiseley, "The Long Loneliness: Man and the Porpoise," in A Writer's Reader, ed. Donald Hall and D. L. Emblen (Boston: Little, Brown, 1979), 140–47.